

February 2025

NeMTSS Research Brief

Reducing Classroom Disruptive Behaviors to Improve Academic Performance

Annica Jonson & Amanda Witte, Ph.D.



Reducing Classroom Disruptive Behaviors to Improve Academic Performance: An NeMTSS Research Brief

Key Points:

- Student engagement is essential for learning and plays a crucial role in student academic success (Kahu et al., 2014).
- Disruptive student behaviors negatively affect student learning and lower students' academic performance (Gómez Mármol et al., 2018; Granero-Gallegos et al., 2020).
- When teachers spend time reacting to disruptive behaviors in the classroom, time is taken away from instruction and student engagement in academic tasks is reduced (Riley et al., 2011).

Mission of Schools

Schools are charged with meeting their students' academic, behavioral, physical, and social needs and for meeting state assessment standards. Schools serve as places for learning and educators are expected to provide students with the skills and knowledge they need to be successful in the future (Mishra & Close, 2020). Students perform lower on standardized tests when they exhibit disruptive and off-task behaviors (Kostyuk, 2018). Evidence-based classroom behavior management strategies, such as Tier 1 core interventions, can be effective in reducing disruptive behaviors and increasing student involvement in learning.

Impact of Disruptive Behavior on Learning

Attending to and addressing disruptive behaviors as they arise reduces the amount of time teachers have to deliver high quality instruction. Furthermore, disruptive behaviors result in decreased student engagement in academic tasks (Riley et al., 2011). In the 2020-2021 school year, 32% of teachers in public schools reported that student behaviors interfered with their teaching (NCES, 2023). Researchers have found that teachers lose about 2.5 hours of classroom instruction each week due to disruptive behaviors (Education Advisory Board, 2019). In addition to teachers losing classroom instruction, disruptive behaviors often result in students being removed from the classroom. When students are removed from the classroom, they lose the chance to engage in classroom instruction.

Disruptive behaviors can negatively impact students' academic performance (Gómez Mármol et al., 2018; Granero-Gallegos et al., 2020). When disruptive behavior occurs, it causes the classroom to be less productive, it inhibits students' ability to focus and follow instructions, and achieve learning tasks (Granero-Gallegos et al., 2020). Disruptive behaviors can have immediate as well as long-term negative implications on students' social, emotional, and physical well-being (Chaffee, 2017). For example, students may experience difficulties building peer relationships and making academic progress (Chaffee, 2017). Other implications include being more at-risk for externalizing behaviors and lacking self-efficacy (Chaffee, 2017). Negative implications for teachers exist as well. For teachers, disruptive behaviors can adversely shape their attitudes toward teaching and reduce their job satisfaction (Cameron and Lovett, 2015). When teachers' attitudes shift negatively in their teaching, it can hamper student learning processes and decrease student motivation to learn (Han and Yin, 2016).

Interventions and programs focused on improving student behavior and classroom management have shown positive social-emotional and academic outcomes for students, including improvements in peer relationships and social skills (Witvliet et al. 2009; Fossum et al., 2017), prosocial behaviors (Chuang et al., 2020), reduction in externalizing behaviors and conduct problems (Witvliet et al., 2009; Muratori et al., 2017), decreases in aggression and hyperactivity and inattention (Crean and Johnson, 2013; Muratori et al., 2017), and increased overall emotional regulation (Ialongo et al., 2019). Student academic outcomes have also been shown to improve through classroom management interventions, including increased readiness to learn (Ialongo et al., 2019) and increased math achievement (Chuang et al., 2020).

Student Engagement and Academic Success

Student engagement is essential for learning and plays a crucial role in student academic success (Kahu et al., 2014). Student engagement is more than simply paying attention in the classroom. It includes how students interact physically with learning, their thinking process as they learn, and how they feel about learning (Zepke, 2017). Student engagement models developed by Kahu and Nelson (2018) and Wang et al. (2019) both highlight academic achievement as a key outcome of positive student engagement (Wong et al., 2024). Similarly, a meta-analysis conducted by Lei et al. (2018) found behavioral, emotional, and cognitive student engagement positively correlated with academic achievement. Specifically, math achievement has been found to positively increase in relation to affective, behavioral, and cognitive engagement (Fung et al. 2018).

Implications for Educators

When engagement increases, students show improvements in academic performance and their learning. When teachers use fundamental classroom management strategies, they promote student engagement and reduce disruptive behaviors which set up their students for success (Mitchell et al., 2017). Examples include setting clear expectations, creating predictable routines, frequent and active supervision, and reminding students of behavioral expectations (Mitchell et al., 2017). Educators should consider using multi-tiered systems of support to increase classroom management and promote student engagement, which includes particular attention to the school and classroom environments in order to enhance the social, emotional, behavioral, and academic well-being of students.

References

- Breaking Bad Behavior: The Rise of Classroom Disruptions in Early Grades and How Districts Are Responding. Washington, DC, Education Advisory Board, 2019. https://pages.eab.com/rs/732-GKV-655/images/BreakingBadBehaviorStudy.pdf
- Cameron, M., & Lovett, S. (2015). Sustaining the commitment and realizing the potential of highly promising teachers. *Teachers and Teaching*, *21*(2), 150-163. https://doi.org/10.1080/13540602.2014.928132
- Chaffee, R. K., Briesch, A. M., Johnson, A. H., & Volpe, R. J. (2017b). A meta-analysis of class-wide interventions for supporting student behavior. *School Psychology Review*, *46*(2), 149–164. https://doi.org/10.17105/spr-2017-0015.v46-2
- Chuang, C.-c., Reinke, W. M., & Herman, K. C. (2020). Effects of a universal classroom management teacher training program on elementary children with aggressive behaviors. *School Psychology*, *35*(2), 128–136. https://doi.org/10.1037/spq0000351
- Crean, H. F., & Johnson, D. B. (2013). Promoting alternative thinking strategies (paths) and elementary school aged children's aggression: Results from a cluster randomized trial. *American Journal of Community Psychology*, 52(1–2), 56–72. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10464-013-9576-4
- Fossum, S., Handegard, B.H., & Drugli, M.B., (2017). The Incredible Years Classroom Management Programme in Kindergartens: Effects of a Universal Preventive Effort. *Journal of Child and Family Studies*, 26(8), 2215-2223. doi: https://doi.org/10.1007/s1082
- Fung, F., Tan, C. Y., & Chen, G. (2018). Student engagement and mathematics achievement: Unraveling Main and interactive effects. *Psychology in the Schools*, *55*(7), 815–831. https://doi.org/10.1002/pits.22139
- Gómez Mármol, A., Sánchez-Alcaraz Martínez, B. J., Valero Valenzuela, A., & De la Cruz Sánchez, E. (2018). Perceived violence, sociomoral attitudes and behaviours in school contexts. *Journal of Human Sport and Exercise, 13*(1). http://dx.doi.org/10.14198/jhse.2018.131.14
- Granero-Gallegos, A., Gómez-López, M., Baena-Extremera, A., & Martínez-Molina, M. (2020). Interaction effects of disruptive behaviour and motivation profiles with teacher competence and school satisfaction in secondary school physical education. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 17(1), 114.https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17010114
- Han, J., & Yin, H. (2016). Teacher motivation: Definition, research development and implications for teachers. *Cogent Education*, *3*(1), 1217819. https://doi.org/10.1080/2331186x.2016.1217819
- Ialongo, N. S., Domitrovich, C., Embry, D., Greenberg, M., Lawson, A., Becker, K. D., & Bradshaw, C. (2019). A randomized controlled trial of the combination of two school-

- based universal preventive interventions. *Developmental Psychology, 55*(6), 1313–1325. https://doi.org/10.1037/dev0000715
- Kahu, E., Stephens, C., Leach, L., & Zepke, N. (2014). Linking academic emotions and student engagement: Mature-aged distance students' transition to University. *Journal of Further and Higher Education*, 39(4), 481–497. https://doi.org/10.1080/0309877x.2014.895305
- Kostyuk, V., Almeda, Ma. V., & Baker, R. S. (2018). Correlating affect and behavior in reasoning mind with State Test Achievement. *Proceedings of the 8th International Conference on Learning Analytics and Knowledge*, 26–30. https://doi.org/10.1145/3170358.3170378
- Lei, H., Cui, Y., & Zhou, W. (2018). Relationships between student engagement and academic achievement: A meta-analysis. *Social Behavior and Personality: An International Journal*, 46(3), 517–528. https://doi.org/10.2224/sbp.7054
- Mishra, P., & Close, K. (2020). The value of school. *ECNU Review of Education*, *3*(3), 576–583. https://doi.org/10.1177/2096531120926687
- Mitchell, B. S., Hirn, R. G., & Lewis, T. J. (2017). Enhancing effective classroom management in schools: Structures for changing teacher behavior. *Teacher Education and Special Education: The Journal of the Teacher Education Division of the Council for Exceptional Children*, 40(2), 140–153. https://doi.org/10.1177/0888406417700961
- Muratori, P., Bertacchi, I., Giuli, C., Nocentini, A., & Lochman, J. E. (2016). Implementing coping power adapted as a universal prevention program in Italian primary schools: A randomized control trial. *Prevention Science*, *18*(7), 754–761. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11121-016-0715-7
- National Center for Education Statistics (NCES). (2023). *Teachers' Reports of Disruptive Student Behaviors and Staff Rule Enforcement*. https://nces.ed.gov/programs/coe/indicator/a11
- Riley, J.L., McKevitt, B.C., Shriver, M.D., and Allen, K.D. (2011). Increasing On-Task Behavior Using Teacher Attention Delivered on a Fixed-Time Schedule. *Journal of Behavioral Education*, *20*(3): 149–162.
- Witvliet, M., van Lier, P. A., Cuijpers, P., & Koot, H. M. (2009). Testing links between childhood positive peer relations and externalizing outcomes through a randomized controlled intervention study. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 77(5), 905–915. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0014597
- Wang, M.-T., Degol, J. L., & Henry, D. A. (2019). An integrative development-in-sociocultural-context model for children's engagement in learning. *American Psychologist*, *74*(9), 1086–1102. https://doi.org/10.1037/amp0000522
- Wong, Z. Y., Liem, G. A., Chan, M., & Datu, J. A. (2024). Student engagement and its association with academic achievement and subjective well-being: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, *116*(1), 48–75. https://doi.org/10.1037/edu0000833

Zepke, N. (2017). Student engagement in neo-liberal times: What is missing? *Higher Education Research & Amp; Development*, 37(2), 433–446. https://doi.org/10.1080/07294360.2017.1370440

Recommended Citation:

Jonson, A. & Witte, A. L. (2025). Reducing Classroom Disruptive Behaviors to Improve Academic Performance: *An NeMTSS research brief.* Nebraska Multi-tiered System of Support (NeMTSS).

Authorship Information:

Annica Jonson

School Psychology Ed.S. Student Graduate Research Assistant Nebraska Center for Research on Children, Youth, Families and Schools University of Nebraska–Lincoln ajonson2@huskers.unl.edu

Amanda Witte

Research Associate Professor Nebraska Center for Research on Children, Youth, Families and Schools University of Nebraska–Lincoln awitte2@unl.edu